



Borough, Bankside and Walworth Community Council

MINUTES of the Borough, Bankside and Walworth Community Council held on Saturday 1 February 2014 at 1.00 pm at St Saviour's & St Olave's School, New Kent Road, London SE1 4AN

PRESENT: Councillor Martin Seaton (Chair)
Councillor Poddy Clark (Vice-Chair)
Councillor Catherine Bowman
Councillor Neil Coyle
Councillor Patrick Diamond
Councillor Dan Garfield
Councillor Claire Hickson
Councillor Lorraine Lauder MBE
Councillor Rebecca Lury
Councillor Tim McNally
Councillor Darren Merrill
Councillor Abdul Mohamed
Councillor Adele Morris
Councillor David Noakes
Councillor Geoffrey Thornton

OFFICER Juliet Seymour (Planning Policy Manager)
SUPPORT: Andrea Allen (Senior Project Manager)
Adam Boey (Senior Strategy Officer)
Pauline Bonner (Community Councils Development Officer)
Gerald Gohler (Constitutional Officer)

1. WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS

The chair welcomed councillors, members of the public and officers to the meeting.

2. APOLOGIES

There were apologies for lateness from Councillor Catherine Bowman.

Councillor Tim McNally gave his apologies for having to leave the meeting early.

3. DISCLOSURE OF MEMBERS' INTERESTS AND DISPENSATIONS

The following member made a declaration regarding agenda item below:

19. Neighbourhood planning (formerly item 17)

Councillor David Noakes, non-pecuniary, as although he was a non-voting member of the Southbank and Waterloo neighbourhood forum's steering group, he would be speaking in his capacity as a ward councillor.

4. ITEMS OF BUSINESS THAT THE CHAIR DEEMS URGENT

The chair agreed to accept the following items as urgent items:

13. Cleaner greener safer revenue 2014/2015 allocations (formerly item 18)

14. Community council fund 2014/15 allocations (formerly item 19)

5. MINUTES

RESOLVED:

That the minutes of the meeting held on 16 November 2013 be agreed as a correct record, and signed by the chair.

6. BOROUGH, BANKSIDE AND WALWORTH YOUTH COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Members of the Borough, Bankside and Walworth Youth Community Council informed the meeting about some of their recent projects and activities. They said that they had input into allocations from the community restoration fund, and had done some work around employment and personal safety for young people. They had also conducted a survey on Walworth Road, and had found a lack of facilities for young people. Their plan was to set up a summer facility in the area, which would address these issues by offering outdoor activities and workshops. They also asked for volunteers to help with the running of this project.

Responding to questions from councillors and residents, the representatives of the youth community council explained that there were some services and clubs for young people at local schools, but that these were only aimed at the pupils attending those particular schools, rather than at all young people in the area. Volunteers could expect to work at the project some hours after school and on weekends. The project would be running for three to six months, and would be supported by officers from the youth service. The representatives of the youth community council reminded attendees that they had brought along survey questionnaires which they asked people to fill in.

7. OLDER PERSONS' SLOT

The chair said that feedback on this item would be given later in the meeting.

8. PETITIONS AND DEPUTATIONS

There were none.

9. COMMUNITY ANNOUNCEMENTS

Youth project at Hankey Hall

The meeting heard that there was a council-run youth project at Hankey Hall every Wednesday from 6pm onwards. More information was available from local councillors.

Better pedestrian crossings for Borough High Street

The meeting heard that there had been a campaign for better pedestrian crossings on Borough High Street: one at Trinity Street, and one further north at John Harvard library. Funding for the crossings had now been secured from the council. The crossings were to be put in over the next 12 months, but pressure had to be brought to bear on Transport for London (TfL), because even though the council had allocated the funding, TfL's agreement was required.

Regeneration and housing related news

The chair informed the meeting that the council had appointed Notting Hill Housing Trust as its partner for the redevelopment of the Aylesbury estate. The redevelopment would provide a minimum of 50 per cent affordable homes, 75 per cent of which would be for social rent, and 25 per cent shared ownership or shared equity. The meeting also heard that the council had agreed a 30-year housing strategy, which included the construction of 11,000 new council homes, as well as ensuring all council homes were fit for purpose and improving private sector. Council rents would not increase by more than the rate of inflation in the coming financial year. The council had also agreed the Blackfriars Road, Supplementary Planning Document (SPD).

Health and wellbeing strategy consultation '1,000 lives'

Adam Boey, senior strategy officer (Children's and Adult Services), informed the meeting that the Health and Wellbeing Board was conducting a story-telling exercise, as part of its engagement programme. Patients and residents in general were encouraged to share their stories of using health and social care services, as well as their visions, with the board. The board which was composed of Southwark council, the clinical commissioning group, the public health part of the council, Health Watch, Safer Southwark partnership and representatives of carers' groups. The exercise would be used to inform and revise the strategy to reflect the stories, experiences and needs of residents. As part of this, there would be events on 12 February from 11am to 5pm at the Employment Academy, 29 Peckham Road SE5 8UA, and on 25 February 11am to 5pm at InSpire, The Crypt at St Peter's, Liverpool Grove SE17 2HH.

Elephant and Castle - northern roundabout consultation

Emma Crittenden, from TfL's consultation team, informed the meeting that from the end of February, TfL would be consulting on a new road layout for what was presently the

northern roundabout at Elephant and Castle. She explained that the roundabout was currently one of the worst performing junctions in London, which saw a lot of collisions and had very limited cycling provision. The plans, which were being consulted on, included removing the roundabout and the subways, shifting the road north, adding cycling facilities and creating a large public space. The consultation would run for six weeks from 24 February. Leaflets would be widely distributed to all households and businesses in the Elephant and Castle opportunity area, at the interchange itself, and emails with consultation material sent to registered oyster card users in the area. There would also be consultation events on 6 and 8 March at the London College of Communication. Once that consultation had finished, TfL would consult with people about the nature of the public square, and in 2015 about the tube station upgrade.

In answer to questions from the floor and from councillors, Emma responded that the locations for the pedestrian crossings had been identified, including one from Borough High Street to Elephant and Castle, which would make things easier for wheelchair users. The plans for these would be presented as part of the consultation. The works to the tube station would be influenced by developments with the shopping centre. She went on to say that she would raise the issue of the murals with officers in the council, and that consultation material would be distributed to 10,000 addresses in the Elephant and Castle area. Emma said she would be happy to attend the Elephant Forum, and emphasised that while changes to the proposed lay-out could be made to take account of the outcomes of the consultation, the process was not a referendum. The plans only included the Northern Line, but not the Bakerloo line, ticket hall. There were no plans to change the contra flow lane for buses up London Road.

The meeting heard that there should be an option to save at least some of the subways, but that these needed to be upgraded. A show hands from the audience, indicated that an equal number of attendees supported keeping the subways as preferred filling them in. Views were expressed that the ring road should not be made longer. The meeting heard that it was important that TfL listened to the knowledge of local residents.

The chair reminded the meeting that Emma could not answer technical questions about the scheme. Those wishing to raise technical questions should attend the consultation meeting.

Note: At this point Councillor Tim McNally left the meeting.

Revised draft Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) charging schedule and draft Section 106 planning obligations/CIL Supplementary planning document (SPD)

Juliet Seymour, planning policy manager, informed the meeting about a current consultation on the above schedule and document, which determined how the council spent money raised by new developments. More information was available on the council's webpage. The consultation would be continuing until 15 February 2014, and could be found on the council's website.

(http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/200308/current/2639/planning_policy_documents/1)

In answer to questions, Juliet explained that the unlike Section 106 contributions, CIL was not negotiable, and that the rates for CIL contributions were different in the different parts of the borough. The levy was calculated to reflect the land values in the area. The provision of affordable housing would always be given priority. Other levies, such as the

crossrail levy, were also factored in. This approach was set out in the documents which were being consulted on.

10. ELEPHANT AND CASTLE SHOPPING CENTRE CONSULTATION

Stafford Lancaster from Delancey introduced his colleagues Richard Chambers, development director, and Kim Humphreys, who is responsible for the consultation. The meeting heard that Delancey were London-based developer, long-term investor and the new owners of the Elephant & Castle shopping centre. The company also managed commercial premises such as the N1 shopping centre in Islington, as well as the former athlete's village in the Olympic park, where they would be delivering 3,000 new homes for private lettings. In its rental property business, Delancey was focused on providing long-term tenancies, which were affordable to Londoners. A typical lease ran for three years, with rent increases in line with inflation. Delancey had starting developing space on the corner of Elephant Road and New Kent Road: 400 rental homes would be created there, which would be private rented, relevant to the London market. The space would also no longer be called "Tribeca Square".

The plan was to demolish the shopping centre and to redevelop the area as a town centre with retail and residential space, including affordable housing. The Northern Line ticket hall and the train station would be integrated into the site. The company had started speaking to stakeholders, such as the traders, already and would start the formal consultation in late spring, with a view to submitting a planning application at the end of the year.

Responding to questions, Stafford explained that they would be happy to contribute to plans for Elephant Road, and would work with Lend Lease to improve that area, for example on the possible reinstatement of the cycle lane in Elephant Road. Delancey would also work with the neighbourhood forums, community groups and with Notting Hill Housing Trust, who had been named the council's partner for the regeneration of the Aylesbury Estate. Delancey would also ensure the creation of jobs for local people, especially young people, in the redevelopment of the shopping centre, improved transport links and links with existing infrastructure like East Street Market. Later in the year, they would be speaking to the various stakeholders, and were already speaking to existing tenants and to the Latin American community, who had approached them.

The new development would include an interchange with the Northern Line only; the Bakerloo line entrance would be unaffected. In order to demolish the shopping centre, it had to be vacant. Delancey would provide support to traders and retailers to relocate, for example to the new market square on Elephant Road developed by them, or to the new retail units in the development by Lend Lease. The aim was to recreate an urban town centre and integrate what was there already. Delancey's contractors would be using the local labour force, providing job opportunities for local people, especially young people.

The meeting also heard the following comments from the floor: that with the increased building density, fire safety would be an issue; Delancey should take care to acknowledge the value of the existing community and amenities; the flavour and mix of local businesses should be maintained in the new development.

The chair reminded the meeting that detailed questions would be answered during the consultation phase.

Note: At this point, the meeting adjourned for a 10-minute comfort break.

11. CLEANER GREENER SAFER FUNDING REALLOCATION

Note: This is an executive function.

Councillors considered the information contained in the report.

RESOLVED:

That a reallocation of £62,909 of available funding from the 2013-14 Cleaner Greener Safer programme to the 2014-15 Cleaner Greener Safer be approved.

12. CLEANER GREENER SAFER 2014/15: CAPITAL FUNDING ALLOCATION

Note: This is an executive function.

Councillors considered the information contained in the report.

RESOLVED:

That the following allocations of funding from the 2014-15 Cleaner Greener Safer (CGS) capital programme be agreed:

Faraday Ward

Project	Amount awarded
Making Aylesbury estate a better place	£8,225
Bridport pond	£10,000
Burgess park underpass	£4,500
St Peter's school playground	£4,000
Phelp street planting - Elizabeth estate	£7,000
Gateway estate playground	£14,000

Octavia Hill greening / hanging baskets	£12,000
New Church Road cyclists safety	£9,000
Inspire/2Inspire	£15,000
St Peters Church community space phase 2	£15,499

East Walworth ward

Project	Amount awarded
Youth Hub	£1,700
IntoUniversity - Go-For-It Garden	£2,160
Browning Estate Management Association - Adventure Playgrounds	£5,400
Burgess Park Cricket Academy Development	£8,100
Peabody - Walworth Estate fencing and gate works	£12,000
Comus House - garden area improvements	£2,000
Comus House TRA playground extension and equipment project	£13,000
Victory Park playground improvements	£33,141
Kinglake playground improvements	£10,000
Naylor House cleaner greener safer	£6,500

Chaucer ward

Project	Amount awarded
Cycle Locker Project	£16,000
The Albert McKenzie VC Statue	£2,700

Rockingham community allotments	£1,000
1-28 Bath Terrace - derelict sheds	£11,800
Becket and Selbourne Houses pram sheds	£25,000
Meadow Row herb gardens	£10,400
Albert Barnes House pram sheds and back yard	£20,000
Decima Street TRA community garden	£9,500
Selbourne Becket green roof on the other bin shed	£2,600
More table tennis tables in Chaucer ward	£18,358

Cathedrals ward

Project	Amount awarded
Hayles Street bike park	£5,000
GMH dog gym	£16,564
Perkins play park	£20,000
Perronet House - stop fly tipping	£9,000
Hayles Tenants and Residents Association - Lamlash community space	£18,000
Lancaster Street children's playground	£20,000
Scovell Estate playground (gate, barriers, cleaning)	£10,000
Christ Church memorial sign	£450
Lant & Bittern Street TRA - tamper proof taps	£2,000
GMH Peace Play ground	£2,977 +£12,600 (from revenue budget)

Newington ward

Project	Amount awarded
West Indies United Cricket Club - Kennington Park cricket project	£8,000
Pasley Park (Sturgeon Road) entrance upgrade	£15,000
Brandon 2 Play Area	£21,448
Pullen's Estate bike lockers	£5,600
Brandon Estate garden project	£5,000
Hampton Street - space for waste	£2,200
Doddington Grove bike lockers	£4,800
Greener Draper	£5,000
Pelier estate - bright view project	£15,000
Planting in the garden at 14-19 Harding Close	£9,000

13. CLEANER GREENER SAFER REVENUE 2014/2015 ALLOCATIONS (FORMERLY ITEM 18)

Note: This is an executive function.

Councillors considered the information contained in the report.

RESOLVED:

That the following amounts of Cleaner Greener Safer (CGS) revenue budget be allocated:

Cathedrals ward

Name of organisation:	Name of project:	Funding awarded:
Southwark Environmental Improvement team	Playground safety surfacing clean up (4 play areas)	£2,800
Bankside Open Spaces Trust	Marlborough Community Sports Programme	£5,000
BOST	Southwark flower show in memory of Octavia Hill at Red Cross Garden	£1,500
Open Streets	Open Streets in Borough and Bankside from 10 am to 3 pm on Saturday June 14 2014	£5,000

Chaucer ward

Name of organisation:	Name of project:	Funding awarded:
Southwark Environmental Improvement team	Playground safety surfacing clean up	£10,000
Community warden patrols	Community warden patrols	£21,860
Open Streets	Open Streets in Borough and Bankside	£5,000

East Walworth ward

Name of organisation:	Name of project:	Funding awarded:
Borough, Bankside & Walworth Youth Community Council	Youth hub	£1,111
Burgess Park Academy Cricket Club	Burgess Park Cricket Academy Development	£9,694
Friends of Nursery Row Park	Green Shoots and Safe Play Club: Nursery Row Park Community Involvement and Outreach Programme 2014/15	£9,195

Faraday ward

Name of organisation:	Name of project:	Funding awarded:
2InSpire building improvements	2InSpire Youth Centre,	£11,000
Tyke's Corner Nursery	Tyke's Corner Stay and Play Nursery	£9,000

Newington ward

Name of organisation:	Name of project:	Funding awarded:
Southwark Environmental Improvement team	Playground safety surfacing clean up	£2,100

Borough, Bankside & Walworth Youth Community Council	Youth hub	£5,000
The Walworth Society	Borough, Bankside & Walworth Community Council Heritage Project	£5,000
West Indies United Cricket Club	Kennington Park cricket project	£5,379
Pullens TRA	Kennington Park cricket project	£1,521
Bee Urban	Pullens Festival (Summer and Winter)	£1,000

14. COMMUNITY COUNCIL FUND 2014/15 ALLOCATIONS (FORMERLY ITEM 19)

Note: This is an executive function.

Councillors considered the information contained in the report.

RESOLVED:

That the following amounts of Community Council fund budget be allocated:

Cathedrals ward

Name of group:	Name of project:	Funding awarded:
Volunteer Centre Southwark	Southwark Stars	£910
Bankside Open Spaces Trust	Marlborough Community Sports Day	£1,000
Bankside Open Spaces Trust/Friends of Crossbones	Cross Bones Bards	£500

Borough Music School	Choir and Ensemble Groups Programme	£1,000
GMH Dog People	GMH dog show and community picnic	£965
Hayles TRA	Lamlash Street open afternoons	£400
SportyMints	Tennis Tournament	£588
Yaddy Singh	David Barker House	£450

Chaucer ward

Name of group:	Name of project:	Funding awarded:
Tayo Situ Foundation	Recognition Awards Night 2014	£387
Southwark Explorers	Pensioners Exploring 2014	£500
Southwark Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Tran Network	Network Event Programme	£500
Tower Bridge Road Alliance CIC	Christmas on Tower Bridge Road	£700
Tabard Central Tenants and Residents Association (TRA)	coach trip	£757
Amader Bhoishot (Our Future)	Keep Active Stay Focused	£757
Angela Fordham (Individual)	Bermondsey Street Festival	£700

Decima Street Tenants & Residents Association (TRA)	7th Annual Decima Street TRA Festival	£757
Haddonhall Residents Tenants Management Organisation (TMO)	Haddonhall	£757

East Walworth ward

Name of group:	Name of project:	Funding awarded:
Tayo Situ Foundation	Recognition Awards Night 2014	£813
Southwark Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Tran Network	Network Event Programme	£1,000
Lisa Russell	Romain Inspired Training	£1,000
Pembroke House	Community Picnic along the Walworth Green Link	£1,000
Somali Youth Action Forum (SOYAF)	SOYAF football sessions	£1,000
Southwark Cyprus Turkish Association	Elderly and disable people	£1,000

Faraday ward

Name of group:	Name of project:	Funding awarded:

Aylesbury Everywoman's Centre	Celebrating International Women's Day	£1,000
Friends of Burgess Park	Burgess Park Film Festival	£1,000
St. Peters Primary School (Imogen Woods)	War Horse Visit	£1,000
Nelson Tenants Residents Association (TRA)	Community New Celebration	£1,000
Our Park	Our Park	£813
St Peter's Church	Party in The Park 2014	£1,000

Newington ward

Name of group:	Name of project:	Funding awarded:
2nd Riverside Rainbows	Rainbow Roundabout day	£200
Friends of Pasley Park	Fete	£1,000
Southwark Legionnaires Community Club	Joining Community Weekend	£500
Latin American Disabled People's Project	LADPP Summer Activities 2014	£513
Walworth Garden Farm	Poisonous Plant Bed Interpretation Visit	£100

Pullens Fun Gardening Club/Mari	Pullens Festival	£1,500
Camberwell After School Project	Young Achievers Awards Ceremony 2014	£1,000
YAIT (Young Adults In Transition)	Young Adults art project	£1,000

The meeting heard that the process of allocating all the funding above had been difficult, as a large number of very good applications had been received, which had far outstripped the funding available.

15. LOCAL HERITAGE BUILDINGS (FORMERLY ITEM 13)

Jeremy Leach from the Walworth Society addressed the meeting about the Victorian sorting office on Penrose St, which he said was under threat from demolition. The building, designed by architect Sir Henry Tanner, had been built in 1897, and had, until recently, been viably used as a recording studio. There had been a campaign by Walworth residents against the demolition, which had received local and national press coverage. He called on the community council to support local residents in their efforts to protect the building.

The chair took a sounding from the floor, which indicated that the large majority of the audience were in favour of protecting the old sorting office.

Members discussed issues around the building, including passing a motion on this, and the implications that such a motion would have for members who also sit on the main planning committee, and on planning sub-committees. A motion was tabled, seconded and agreed.

RESOLVED:

That this community council recognises the particular local heritage significance of the old Walworth sorting office on Penrose Street (acknowledged both by English Heritage and the Victorian Society), and desires to protect the building from demolition by developers.

Note: Councillors Neil Coyle, Rebecca Lury, Darren Merrill and Adele Morris abstained from the vote, and asked for this to be recorded in accordance with paragraph 9.4 of the community council procedure rules, as they are members or reserves on the planning committee, or a planning sub-committee.

16. LOCAL PARKING AMENDMENTS (FORMERLY ITEM 14)

Note: This is an executive function.

Councillors considered the information contained in the report.

RESOLVED:

That the following local parking amendments, detailed in the appendices to the report, be approved for implementation subject to the outcome of any necessary statutory procedures:

- Steedman Street – change single yellow line to double yellow line between Hampton Street and the railway bridge to remove risk of obstruction at weekends and overnight.
- Great Dover Street – designate all bays in Great Dover Street (where Southwark Council is traffic authority) as permit holder (D) parking.

17. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME (FORMERLY ITEM 15)

The following public questions were posed at the meeting:

1. Where is the information about the Cleaner Greener Safer and Community Council fund unallocated budgets? - The chair explained that this information was contained in the reports which councillors had made decisions on earlier in the meeting.
2. In future, can it be flagged up which ward the public questions relate to, and when they were submitted, so that they are easier to track? - The chair asked that where questions were not answered satisfactorily, this should be brought to his attention. He would then ensure satisfactory answers were given.
3. Can bus stop in Rodney Road in front of Trafalgar Place be re-established?
4. Can the council reserve a piece of land for a greenhouse for young people to use?
5. Can the council ensure that developers consult with the community?
6. Will the councillors continue to support the council pressuring and lobbying to regain more fire safety in the borough, as we are down to two fire stations in Southwark. A minimum of three fire engines are needed to attend incidents at buildings that have more than five storeys, of which there are many in the borough.
7. "When is the public to know the truth regarding Newington Library and its future. This is a valuable community asset. It shouldn't be sold to any private developer or private organisation."

The following questions were submitted in writing at the meeting:

8. "What is happening to Newington Library and the museum? The council has been very quiet on this subject."
9. "After the council notices were put on the lampposts in East Street for shop owners not to extend their shops onto the pavement, I notice that nothing has been done to enforce these regulations. Some shops have not taken any notice of it, some have extended their shops to more than a third on the pavement and some have created another business completely on the pavement. Between the market traders extending their pitches at the back onto the pavement and the shops, the pavement has become so narrow that it has become difficult to walk on it. What is the council going to do about it?"
10. An issue was raised in relation to major works projects which has been forwarded directly to the housing department for response.

18. COMMUNITY COUNCIL QUESTION TO COUNCIL ASSEMBLY (FORMERLY ITEM 16)

Following a discussion, the community council considered whether to submit a question to the Council Assembly meeting on 26 March 2014.

RESOLVED:

That the following question be submitted to the council assembly meeting on 26 March 2014:

"Given the recent closure of fire stations in the borough by the Mayor of London, will the council continue to pressure and lobby to regain more fire safety in the borough?"

19. NEIGHBOURHOOD PLANNING (FORMERLY ITEM 17)

Note: Items 19.1 and 19.2 (formerly items 17.1 and 17.2) were heard together.

Juliet Seymour, planning policy manager, introduced the two reports, and explained that neighbourhood planning was an opportunity for local residents to put together planning documents, which the council would use when making planning decisions.

The area set out in the reports was partly in Lambeth and partly in Southwark. One part of it, north of The Cut, was also included in another neighbourhood area application - by the Bankside Neighbourhood Forum - which had previously been commented on by the community council. Members were now asked to comment on the options for dealing with the potential overlap:

1. Moving the proposed boundary of the Bankside area, so that the whole of the area indicated on the map forms part of the South Bank and Waterloo neighbourhood area.

2. Not moving boundary of the Bankside area and for the area north of The Cut to remain within the Bankside area.
3. Moving both boundaries, with Blackfriars Road and the western borough boundary not forming part of either area.
4. Assigning the northern part of Blackfriars Road to the Bankside area, and the southern part of Blackfriars Road to the South Bank and Waterloo area.

Juliet explained that the Bankside group had had its forum and area agreed, and that maps for all the proposed neighbourhood areas were on the council's website.

Mark Richards, chair of the proposed South Bank and Waterloo forum, explained that in the long term there were a lot of issues which concerned both groups, and on which they would cooperate. In the short term, however, his group felt that the contested area should be part of the South Bank and Waterloo neighbourhood forum (SBWNF).

In answer to questions from councillors, Mark Richards explained that the group had 230 members, with an even split between residents and businesses. The number of Southwark members was 67, also evenly split. The forum strongly wanted to be part of Southwark. He went on to say that neighbourhoods crossed borough boundaries, and that it was therefore important to have forums which span those boundaries, where appropriate.

Tim Wood, the chair of the Bankside forum, explained that should the boundaries be redrawn, this would mean the Bankside forum would have to put in another application, which would delay constituting the area. He went on to say that the groups would probably pursue similar aims.

Members then discussed the report and the issues raised by it.

RESOLVED:

That the official feedback of the community council to the cabinet member for regeneration and corporate strategy be as follows:

- There is residents' support for both forums.
- Close cooperation between both groups is needed and desirable.
- While borough boundaries may be arbitrary, it would be easier, if forums had to deal with only one authority, as their plans will need to be in conformity with policies and strategies of the planning authorities they sit under.
- An argument can be made for letting residents decide in the referenda whether they feel part of the areas proposed.
- Changing the boundary would put the Bankside forum back in the process which is not desirable. Therefore, the northern end of Blackfriars Road should be part of Bankside area, the southern end should be part South Bank and Waterloo area.

- Given that quite some time has elapsed, a decision should be taken speedily.

19.1 APPLICATION FOR A NEIGHBOURHOOD FORUM BY SOUTH BANK AND WATERLOO NEIGHBOURHOOD FORUM (SBWNF) (FORMERLY ITEM 17.1)

Considered under item 19.

19.2 NEIGHBOURHOOD PLANNING - APPLICATION FOR A NEIGHBOURHOOD DEVELOPMENT AREA AND BUSINESS AREA BY THE SOUTH BANK AND WATERLOO NEIGHBOURHOOD FORUM (FORMERLY ITEM 17.2)

Considered under item 19.

In reference to a discussion at the previous meeting, the chair informed attendees that the council had a single telephone for older residents who needed to contact the council's adult services. This 020 7525 3324.

The chair thanked everyone for attending.

Meeting ended at 4.15 pm

CHAIR:

DATED: